

**GISB ANSI Compliance Team  
Final Meeting Minutes  
August 6, 1999**

**1. Welcome and Introductions**

Kim Van Pelt called the meeting to order. Introductions were made.

**2. Housekeeping**

Reviewed by Kim Van Pelt.

**3. Anti-Trust Warning**

Reviewed by Kim Van Pelt.

**4. Adoption of Agenda**

Agenda adopted as modified to add approval of prior Meeting Minutes as item 6a.

**5. Finalize GISB X12 Request for 874 Quick Response**

We had put the development of the 874 transaction on hold until we knew the outcome of request R97124. This request proposed deleting some data elements in the Nomination Quick Response, which would affect our design of the 874. Since we now know that those data elements will not be deleted, we can move forward with the development.

We will contact Sarita Leassear (our contact at API) during a break to see if she will present the 874 at the August DISA meetings since we are unable to attend, or if she thinks it is best to wait until the October DISA meetings.

**874 - Commodity Movement Services Validation Response Transaction**

ANSI Segment	prop. ANSI usage	prop . ANSI max use	Nomination Quick Response - GISB data elements and usage	Confirmation Response Quick Response - GISB data elements and usage	PDA Quick Response - GISB data elements and usage
<b>Header:</b>					
ST	M	1			
BGN	M	1	[code to indicate Nomination Quick Response] [echo of the nomination number from the Nomination] (M) Transaction Status Code (M)	[code to indicate Confirmation Response Quick Response] Transaction Status Code (M) Transaction Identifier (M)	[code to indicate PDA Quick Response] [non-GISB data element Transaction Set Status Code] (M) [echo of the statement number from the PDA] (M)
DTM	O	1			Statement Date/Time (M)
III	O	>1	Validation Code (C) Validation Message (SO)	Validation Code (C) Validation Message (SO)	Validation Code (C) Validation Message (SO)
N1 loop		>1			
N1	M	1	Transportation Service Provider (M) Service Requester (M)	Confirming Party (M) Confirmation Requester (M)	Statement Recipient ID (M) Preparer ID (M)
N3	O	2			
N4	O	1			
REF	O	>1			
PER	O	3			
<b>Detail:</b>					

ANSI Segment	prop. ANSI usage	prop . ANSI max use	Nomination Quick Response - GISB data elements and usage	Confirmation Response Quick Response - GISB data elements and usage	PDA Quick Response - GISB data elements and usage
N9 loop		>1			
N9	O	1	Contract Level Tracking ID (C)	[Detail Level Tracking ID]	[Detail Level Tracking ID]
DTM	O	1	Beginning Date (C) Beginning Time (C) Ending Date (C) Ending Time (C)	Beginning Date (C) Beginning Time (C) Ending Date (C) Ending Time (C)	
LCD	O	1		Location (C)	
CS	O	1	Service Requester Contract (C)	Confirmation Service Contract (C)	
N1	O	1		Confirmation Service Identifier Code (C)	
III	O	>1	Validation Code (C) Validation Message (SO)	Validation Code (C) Validation Message (SO)	Validation Code (C) Validation Message (SO)
<b>Sub-detail:</b>					
SLN loop		>1			
SLN	O	1	Nominator's Tracking ID (C)	Confirmation Tracking Identifier (C)	PDA Submitter's Tracking ID (C)
N9	O	>1	Service Provider's Activity Code (MA)		
III	O	>1	Validation Code (C) Validation Message (SO)	Validation Code (C) Validation Message (SO)	Validation Code (C) Validation Message (SO)
<b>Summary:</b>					
SE	M	1			

We have decided to assume that a Detail Level Tracking Identifier (requested with R99006) will be approved for the Confirmation Response Quick Response and PDA Quick Response. This will allow us to start the Detail Level loop with a N9 instead of a DTM. Starting with a DTM would require us to add multiple data elements (Dates, Direction of Flow and Location) to the PDA Quick Response. Assuming a Detail Level Tracking ID is added to the PDA Quick Response with R99006, we do not want to add data elements that are not needed.

Review of segments used and new code values needed:

BGN segment:

BGN01: "27" - verify

BGN02: [echo of the nomination number from the Nomination] or Transaction Identifier

BNG07: request new code values "V2" - Nomination Quick Response, "V3" - Confirmation Response Quick Response

BGN08 (de 306) - GISB data element "Transaction Status Code"

use existing code value:

"WQ" - Accept

request new code values:

"RZ" - "Reject - Header Level Errors"

"EZ" - "Exception - Errors or Warnings Occurred"

DTM segment: no new code values needed

III segment:

III01: request new code value "VAL" - "Validation Code"

III02: GISB data element "Validation Code"

III04: GISB data element "Validation Message"

N1 segment: no new code values needed

N9 segment:

N901: use existing code "TN" - transaction reference number

N902: GISB data element "Contract Level Tracking Identifier" and other Detail Level Tracking Identifiers

DTM segment: no new code values needed since we requested DDT and DTD with our 873 transaction; we will use: DTD, DDT, RDT, RD8

LCD segment: same implementation as in 873 - no new code values needed

LCD01: translator assigned number

LCD02: existing code values "M2", "MQ", etc.

LCD05: LCD06 qualifier:

"DR" - requested as part of 873 request for GISB DRN

"ZY" - existing code value for proprietary value

LCD06: GISB data element "Location"

CS segment:

CS04: "KCS" and "KSR" code values requested with 873 transaction

CS05: GISB data element "Service Requester Contract" and "Confirmation Service Contract"

N1 segment: no new code values needed since we requested "CNS" with the 873 transaction

N103: continue to use code value "1" for DUNS number; change code value "ZZ" to "ZY" to use for proprietary numbers when the DUNS number is not available

III segment: same as above

SLN segment:

SLN01: GISB data element for sub-detail level Tracking ID

N9 segment:

N901: existing code value "BE"

N902: GISB data element "Service Provider's Activity Code"

III segment: same as above

From our discussion today, changes needed to current 874 DISA request (posted as a work paper for this meeting): we need to revise structure (to be same as table above) and we need two new code values for the 306 data element.

sense of the room: recommended (6-0)

After calling Sarita, we learned that only new DMRs are presented at DISA's interim meetings (the August DISA meeting is an interim meeting). Since we deferred the 874 transaction (therefore it is not a new request), the revised 874 DMR will need to be presented at the regular/full DISA meeting (in October).

Kim will note the changes required and send to David Pepper at DISA so that he can update the DMR for the 874 transaction and related code values.

## **6. Update timeline for X12 new development**

Updates were made to the timeline to note work completed and adjust future schedule. This timeline will be

reviewed and approved at a Conference Call later in August and then presented at the September EC. See updated timeline posted with the minutes.

**6a. Adoption of Meeting Minutes**

April 12, 1999 - deferred until next meeting

May 14, 1999 - adopted as drafted

**7. Other Business**

none

**8. Next Meeting Date and Location**

ANSI Compliance Team Meeting

tentative August 20 Conference Call

September 7 or September 10, 1999

Exact Date and Host to be determined

Technical Subcommittee Meeting

September 21-22, 1999

Host to be determined

**9. Adjourn**

**Attendees:**

Kim Van Pelt	CMS - Trunkline Gas Company
Denise Breeden	Tennessee Gas Pipeline
Jim Keisler	Transco
Phyllis Kelly-Knight	SNG
Elena Ilina	Dynegy
Andy Sicignano	ECT